



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,120	01/31/2002	Paul Reiss	Hartford-7	3332
45722	7590	08/15/2008		
Howard IP Law Group P.O. Box 226 Fort Washington, PA 19034			EXAMINER WEIS, SAMUEL	
			ART UNIT 3693	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/15/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/066,120

**Applicant(s)**

REISS, PAUL

**Examiner**

SAMUEL S. WEIS

**Art Unit**

3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAMUEL S. WEIS.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rob Rosenthal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bove, US Pat. 7,149,713.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained independent claim 1 and differences with Bove. Examiner agreed with Applicant that Bove did not disclose 2 limitations and the current rejection will be withdrawn. Examiner mentioned potential 101 and 112 issues with claims 1 and 15. Examiner will conduct a further search on the limitations not disclosed by Bove to determine allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stefanos Karmis/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693